

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,768	QUALLICH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) A. David Jordan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 January 2006

Time: __

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
claim 21


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In response to an internal PTO print query, it was confirmed with Mr. Jordan that claim 21 should have been canceled during the course of prosecution, since it pertains to a salt that has been excluded from the claims during prosecution.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)